

**Dated: May 12, 2021**  
**The following is ORDERED:**



*Jennie D. Latta*

Jennie D. Latta  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

---

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION

---

In re  
JACK W. HARANG,  
Debtor.

Case No. 18-24543-L  
Chapter 7

---

ORDER DENYING “DEBTOR’S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING TO  
DETERMINE ARTICLE III JURISDICTION AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT” FOR  
*LACK OF STANDING*

---

BEFORE THE COURT is *Debtor’s Motion For Preliminary Hearing to Determine Article III Jurisdiction and Memorandum in Support* filed by the Debtor’s attorney, Larry E. Parrish, four days after the court entered its *Order on Standing of Debtor to Object to Claims*. ECF Nos. 260 and 262. In its order, the court held (1) that bankruptcy jurisdiction is present with respect to the Dart Claims; (2) that this bankruptcy court has authority to hear and finally determine objections to claims; and (3) the Debtor lacks standing to object to proofs of claim filed in this bankruptcy case. In the present motion, the Debtor asks that the court determine “whether claimant, Henry Dart (“Mr. Dart”), for failure to present a redressable claim for relief lacks standing essential to this Court having jurisdiction, required by the United States Constitution, Article III, to

adjudicate.” ECF No. 262, p. 1. A rose by any other name, they say, is still a rose. An objection to a proof of claim under the guise of a Motion to Determine Article III Jurisdiction, is still an objection to claim. The Debtor does not have standing to object to the Dart Claims for the reasons stated in the prior order. The Court admonishes Mr. Parrish to refrain from filing frivolous pleadings.

Accordingly, the Motion is **DENIED**.

cc: Debtor  
Attorney for Debtor  
Claimant Henry T. Dart  
Chapter 7 Trustee  
United States Trustee  
Matrix